COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0833-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 195

Subject: Labor and Management

Type: Original

Date: February 12, 2015

Bill Summary: This proposal changes the laws regarding the prevailing hourly rate of

wages

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
General Revenue	(\$100,764)	(\$20,657)	(\$21,173)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	(\$100,764)	(\$20,657)	(\$21,173)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Conservation Commission Fund	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 7 pages.

L.R. No. 0833-01 Bill No. HB 195 Page 2 of 7 February 12, 2015

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	
Local Government	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	More than \$100,000	

L.R. No. 0833-01 Bill No. HB 195 Page 3 of 7 February 12, 2015

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DOLIR)** state in prior years, it was assumed the ITSD work would be minimal and the cost could be absorbed by the Department. However, after an ITSD review of the legislation this year, the cost to make the necessary database changes would be significant. This proposal would require a large re-write of the Division of Labor Standards' Prevailing Wage application, which is currently written in Microsoft Access. The re-write would include design for capturing wages per industry or occupational title in order to properly compute prevailing wages or the proper classification of counties based on population. ITSD cost are estimated at 1,344 hours at a cost of \$100,764 in FY 2016, \$20,657 in FY 2017 and \$21,173 in FY 2018.

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Conservation** assume a savings of greater than \$100,000. This proposal would reduce the types and number of projects the Department would have to pay prevailing wages on. It would also change the way prevailing wages are calculated in certain circumstances that would reduce labor cost on construction contracts.

Oversight will show DOLIR- ITSD's estimated cost to the General Revenue Fund. Oversight will assume the changes in this proposal would result in cost savings greater than \$100,000 per year for the Conservation Commission Fund and for local governments.

Officials from the **Attorney General's Office** assume any potential cost arising from this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the Office of Administration - Division of Purchasing and Material Management, the Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction and the Division of Personnel, the Department of Transportation, the Department of Economic Development, the City of Independence, the Platte County Board of Elections and the St. Louis County Directors of Elections each assume the proposal will have no fiscal impact on their respective organizations.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Budget and Planning** state the proposed legislation appears to have no direct impact on TSR or 18e.

Officials from the **City of Columbia** stated the changes in this proposal appear to provide a more uniform means of calculating the rate. As the prevailing wage is calculated annually, there is always some impact to the city whether positive or negative. Impact would depend on the wage calculated and the number of project we have in progress.

L.R. No. 0833-01 Bill No. HB 195 Page 4 of 7 February 12, 2015

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from **St. Louis County** state currently first class counties prevailing wages is based upon the wage rate that is used the most. Changes in the wages paid do occur and impact cost to the County's construction projects. It cannot be determined if there would be any financial impact on the County at this time.

In response to a similar proposal (HB 1306) from 2014, officials from the **City of Kansas City** (**City**) assumed there would be no increase in revenues or costs related to this proposal.

City officials assumed their organization would experience an unknown amount of savings from the passage this bill. The potential savings stem from the removal of "maintenance work" and other categories from the types of work that qualify for prevailing wage. City contracts for these categories of work would likely be at a lower cost to the City if the prevailing wage is not paid by the contractor to its employees. Additionally, there may be savings in staff time, as this proposal would reduce the types of contracts on which staff would be required to spend time investigating prevailing wage compliance and enforcing prevailing wage violations.

Any potential savings would likely be offset by a reduction in liquidated damages that are collected for prevailing wage violations related to the types of contracts this proposal would exempt from the prevailing wage requirement.

City officials also assumed their organization could experience a loss in earnings tax collections, since those collections are directly related to income earned. If the implementation of the proposal would result in lower wages than is currently the case, the potential loss would be 1% of the difference between the current prevailing wage and whatever new wage is paid.

In response to a similar proposal (HB 69), officials from the **Missouri State University** assumed the proposal will result in a positive fiscal impact. The amount cannot be determined and quantified at this time.

L.R. No. 0833-01 Bill No. HB 195 Page 5 of 7 February 12, 2015

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
GENERAL REVENUE			
Cost - DOLIR ITSD software cost	(\$100,764)	(\$20,657)	(\$21,173)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>(\$100,764)</u>	<u>(\$20,657)</u>	<u>(\$21,173)</u>
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND			
Savings - Department of Conservation - Changes to prevailing wage definitions	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than \$100,000
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON			
CONSERVATION COMMISSION FUND	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than <u>\$100,000</u>	More than <u>\$100,000</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2016 (10 Mo.)	FY 2017	FY 2018
LOCAL GOVERNMENTS	,		
Savings - Local governments Changes to providing wage definitions	More than	More than	More than
Changes to prevailing wage definitions	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses that no longer receive pay prevailing wage could be impacted.

L.R. No. 0833-01 Bill No. HB 195 Page 6 of 7 February 12, 2015

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This bill revises the definition of "construction" as it relates to prevailing wages on public works projects by removing improvements, alterations, or major repairs and specifies that it does not include maintenance work. Currently, it includes construction, reconstruction, improvement, enlargement, alteration, painting and decorating, or major repair.

The bill also revises the definition of "maintenance work" to include repairs that restore existing facilities to a previous state or condition or improve the utility or enhance the appearance of an existing facility provided that the size, type, or extent of the existing facility is not changed. Maintenance work cannot include "major repairs" that is defined as any work that exceeds the replacement cost of existing facilities.

The bill also changes the way the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations determines the prevailing hourly rate of wages on public work projects. For the City of St. Louis and the counties of Cass, Clay, Franklin, Jackson, Jefferson, Lincoln, St. Charles, and St. Louis, the prevailing hourly rate of wages will continue to be determined by consideration of the applicable wage rates established by collective bargaining agreements, if any, and the rates paid generally within those counties and city. The applicable wage rates paid by members of a tax-exempt trade organization as defined in the bill also can be considered.

For all other counties, the prevailing hourly rate of wages will be the state average weekly wage as determined annually by the department for each separate occupational title within the locality.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0833-01 Bill No. HB 195 Page 7 of 7 February 12, 2015

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Office of Administration

Division of Purchasing and Material Management, Division of Facilities Management, Design and Construction

Division of Personnel

Division of Budget and Planning

Department of Economic Development

Department of Labor and Industrial Relations

Attorney General's Office

Department of Transportation

Missouri Department of Conservation

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 12, 2015

Ross Strope Assistant Director February 12, 2015